



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/773,146 | | 02/09/2004 | Jun Kawai | 1573.1026 | 5434 |
| 21171 | 7590 | 11/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| STAAS & | HALSEY | LLP | KOVALICK, VINCENT E | | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW | YORK AV | 'ENUE, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | | 2629 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Action Commence | 10/773,146 | KAWAI ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Vincent E. Kovalick | 2629 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe | ebruary 2004. | | | | | |
| • | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| ,_ | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 0.\ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| ٠٠/٢ | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)[[] | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a | \-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| - | X All b) Some * c) None of: | priority direct oo o.o.o. 3 110(a) | , (d) or (i). | | | | |
| / | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * S | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| | B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| C Datast and Tr | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Patent Application, Serial No. 10/773,146, with a File Date of February 9, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 13 and 14 calls for a "program product" which is not defined or taught in the specification as to what constitutes such "program product" and how such a "program product" can be utilized to perform the various functions as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regading claims 13 and 14, both claims 13 and 14 call for program product being operable to effect: switching between a touch panel and display device mode; performing a process in response to a display touch position; displaying a symbol; displaying an image and moving the position of a displayed symbol. These features constitute a listing of function and are defined as Functional Descriptive Material without a specified practical application that produces tangible concreter and useful results.

It is set forth in the Interim Guidelines, pages 53-54, when the claimed invention taken as a whole is directed to a mere program listing, i.e., and to only its description expression, it is considered as descriptive material per se and hence nonstatutory. Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and USPTO personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer programs; functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material.

6. Regarding independent claims 1 and 7, they recite seemingly patentable "apparatus" claims, however, as is evident as shown in claims 13 and 14, in reality seeks patent protection for a 'computer program product" wherein the claims call for mode switching unit, a touch panel processing unit, a pointing processing unit and a display magnifying processing unit, each unit being a functional entity of the computer programs as taught in claims 13 and 14 respectively as opposed to being actual hardware elements that make up an apparatus. Since

Application/Control Number: 10/773,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

there is not practical application that produces any concrete, tangible and useful result, hence are consider non-statutory.

Claims 2-6 and 8-12 are rejected in that they are dependent on rejected independent claims 1 and 7 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,146 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

To Respond

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent E. Kovalick whose telephone number is 571-272-7669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vincent E. Kovalick November 16, 2006

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600